

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,707	07/15/2003		Un-Chul Paek	5000-1-191DIV	7100
33942	7590	12/09/2004		EXAMINER	
CHA & REITER, LLC 210 ROUTE 4 EAST STE 103				LOPEZ, CARLOS N	
PARAMUS,				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBI	
				1731	
				DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1.0					
	10/619,707	PAEK ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
·	Carlos Lopez	1731						
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of th inod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute. cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this constant of the constant	y. ommunication.					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	9 July 2004.							
	This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) 5-10 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withe 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 5-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		-						
Applicant may not request that any objection to		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor			• •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National \$	Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.						
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO- 	-152)					

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Applicant's claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 120 is acknowledged. An updated status of the parent application is requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The parent claim 9 is drawn to an apparatus for fabricating an optical fiber. It is unclear how method steps for making the preform, the article being worked on by the apparatus, further structurally defines the claimed apparatus recited in parent claim 8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Oh et al (US 6,519,974). Oh et al discloses an apparatus for fabricating an optical fiber. The

Art Unit: 1731

claimed preform cover sealing an end of a preform is deemed as Oh's cover 47 covering the upper portion of preform 46, see figure 5. As noted by Oh in Col. 6, lines 1-7, the cover 47 is coupled to a preform end in a sealed manner. The claimed gas supplier is deemed as Oh's nitrogen gas supply 48, see figure 5. The claimed heating means installed at the other end of the preform for heating the preform to draw an optical fiber is deemed as Oh's furnace 28.

Oh notes that the flow of gas through channel 56 of the preform cover 47, generates a reduced pressure condition that partially evacuates the space between the over cladding tube 44 and the preform 46 (Col. 7,lines 23-27). The flow rate through the channel 56 will determine the extend to which the gas pressure in the space is reduced and thus control of the flow rate from nitrogen gas supply 48 will control the pressure in the space (Col. 7, lines 27-31). This arrangement provides a simple and finely adjustable means to apply reduce pressure in the space between the cladding tube and preform (Col. 7, lines 38-41) Since Oh's reducing pressure is finely adjustable it clearly indicates that the flow of the nitrogen gas, and consequently its pressure, is finely regulated. This clearly shows that a regulator for the nitrogen gas flow, or a pressure regulator as claimed by applicant, is inherently present in Oh's apparatus.

It is noted that Oh's disclosure does not limit its use to any particular type of preform. Thus Oh's device is capable of being used to draw a holey optical fiber preform. In fact as noted in MPEP 2115 R-2, "Expressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof during an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claim." Ex parte Thibault, 164 USPQ 666, 667 (Bd. App. 1969). Furthermore, "[i]nclusion of material or article worked upon by a structure being claimed does not impart patentability to the claims." In re Young,

Art Unit: 1731

75 F.2d *>996<, 25 USPQ 69 (CCPA 1935) (as restated in In re Otto, 312 F.2d 937, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963))."

As for claim 6, fixing rod 33, as shown in figure 5, is attached to the top of preform 46 and its capable of holding it in a stationary position.

As for claim 8, the claimed tubular preform, sealing means, storage means, regulating means and heating means is deemed respectively as the above noted preform, cover 47, gas supplier 48, the inherent pressure regulator and furnace 28 of Oh et al. Additionally, it is deemed that the inherent pressure regulator of Oh would supply a constant gas flow in order to maintain the above noted space between the over cladding tube and preform.

As for claim 9, as noted above, "Expressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof during an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claim." Ex parte Thibault, 164 USPQ 666, 667 (Bd. App. 1969). Furthermore, "[i]nclusion of material or article worked upon by a structure being claimed does not impart patentability to the claims." In re Young, 75 F.2d *>996<, 25 USPQ 69 (CCPA 1935) (as restated in In re Otto, 312 F.2d 937, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963))."

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References B-J and N-O have been cited to show the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos Lopez whose telephone number is 571.272.1193. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8am - 5pm.

Art Unit: 1731

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571.272.1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CL

STEVEN P. GRIFFIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700